

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/065,315	10/02/2002	Ina Ullrich	FMC 1469 PUS / 201-1041	7693	
28395 7	7590 08/21/2006		EXAMINER		
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL			ADE, OGER GARCIA		
1000 TOWN C 22ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	D, MI 48075-1238		3627		
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/065,31	10/065,315 ULLRICH ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Garcia Ade	∋	3627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ac	dress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months after the provision of the pro	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. story period will apply and will ill, by statute, cause the appli	IIS COMMUNIC ent, however, may a re II expire SIX (6) MONT ication to become ABA	CATION. sply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this candoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	b)⊠ This action is no or allowance except	on-final. for formal matte	•	e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims		- ,	,	
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicat i 9) □ 10) ⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction. Claim(s) are subject to by the The drawing(s) filed on 02 October 20. Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	e withdrawn from core on and/or election response to the drawing(s) becomes the correction is require	equirement. epted or b) obe held in abeyanded if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority i	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority downward Copies of the priority downward Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been ocuments have been f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Apents have been e 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage
2) Notice (3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Por No(s)/Mail Date 10/21/02.		Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/065,315

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. [US 7,010,507], and further in view of Jensen et al. [US 6,438,528].

As per claims 1, 9, 17, and 20, Anderson discloses a computerimplemented method for determining and reporting value added tax
information comprising: receiving transaction information from a plurality of
computerized invoice systems [see figures 1 and 2, and column 3, lines 1 –
16]; determining value added tax information based on the transaction
information [see claim 6 (e.g. determining an anticipated refund
amount based on said electronic taxpayer tax return data)];
transmitting the value added tax information to the plurality of computerized
invoice systems [see column 2, lines 24 – 40 (e.g. transmitting the
electronic tax data files to a relevant taxing authority), and see claim 6
(e.g. transmitting from said electronic data processing system to a
credit card issuer computer system said anticipated refund amount)];

Application/Control Number: 10/065,315

Art Unit: 3627

receiving a value added tax amount for the business transaction determined by the plurality of computerized invoice systems based on the value added tax information [see claim 1 (e.g. creating a deposit/payment account designated to receive by electronic funds transfer said tax refund from said relevant taxing authority)].

Anderson does not explicitly disclose generating an at least one report based on the value added tax amount and the value added tax information. However, Jensen discloses generating an at least one report based on the value added tax amount and the value added tax information [see column 7, lines 12 – 16 (e.g. *reports* for transmission)].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary art at the time of the invention was made to modify Anderson's invention to include Jensen's report method. The motivation to combine will provide a transaction manager that includes: a dispatcher connected to an input queue, one or more output queues and one or more processing module queues, input data handling means, responsive to transaction requests received from one or more clients [see disclosure of the invention].

As per claims 2 and 10, Anderson discloses receiving general ledger information [see claim 3 (e.g. a fourth electronic record comprising an account balance)].

As per claims 3 and 11, Anderson discloses the general ledger information includes at least tax account information and tax jurisdiction information [see figure 2 (e.g. block 160), and column 4, lines 33 – 39 (e.g. accurate tax information)].

Application/Control Number: 10/065,315

Art Unit: 3627

Ł

As per claims 4 and 12, Anderson discloses reconciling the value added tax information, the value added tax amounts, and general ledger information [see claim 3 (e.g. an electronic data processing system for preparing and *submitting tax return data*)].

As per claims 5, 6, 8, 13 - 16, 18, and 19, Anderson discloses the determining step includes determining tax decision rules based on the transaction information to obtain value added tax information [see claims 6 and 12].

Anderson does not explicitly disclose the tax decision rules are developed using a VTR table; the transaction information includes at least shipping information; and the value added tax information includes at least tax rate and tax type. However, Jensen discloses the tax decision rules are developed using a VTR table [see column 4, lines 26 – 32 (e.g. the system includes a *table of VAT treatment* practices for all existing scenarios to determine VAT)]; the transaction information includes at least shipping information [via customer database API mode, column 6, line 36, and column 7, line 47]; and the value added tax information includes at least tax rate and tax type [see column 4, lines 50 – 59 (e.g. customer VAT rate applicable)].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary art at the time of the invention was made to modify Anderson's invention to include Jensen's table of VAT treatment, and shipping information. The motivation to combine is the same as claims 1, 9, 17, and 20 above.

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Garcia Ade whose telephone number is 571.272.5586. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571.272.6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

4. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Garcia Ade Examiner Art Unit 3627

Minary Examiner

ga